


GREEN ILC


Towards Sustainable colliders

Energy for Innovation and Innovation in Energy


History of the Universe



Particle Data Group, LBNL, © 2008. Supported by DOE and NSF



CERN Accelerator Complex



LHC Large Hadron Collider SPS Super Proton Synchrotron PS Proton Synchrotron

AD Antiproton Decelerator CTF3 Clic Test Facility



CNGS Cern Neutrinos to Gran Sasso ISOLDE Isotope Separator OnLine DEvice


LEIR Low Energy Ion Ring LINAC LINear ACcelerator n-ToF Neutrons Time Of Flight






High-energy frontier infrastructures projects







The Large Hadron Collider Experiments





ALICE

Alice



ATLAS



CMS+TOTEM



Albert De Roeck (CERN) 2

Denis Perret-Gallix IN2P3-CNRS
(France)

LHC Events @ 7.5 TeV




CCP 2012, Osaka Oct 14-18

Denis Perret-Gallix IN2P3-CNRS
(France)

CERN-LHC "New Boson" discovery

PP → H+X → γγ +X



Content

- Green-ILC project
- Saving and recovery Energies
- Renewable energies
- Accelerator Energy Consumption Calculation
- Green-ILC in Japan and International Cooperation

Power and Energy for Colliders

LHC-CERN ~ 180 MW - 1.35 TWh/year, 50% Geneva electr. consumption (~ 250,000)

FCC-ee : 354 MW @ 350 GeV (top ring and pre-injection not included)

FCC-hh : 468 MW @ 100 TeV (pre-injection NOT included (+100 MW ??) (P. Collier))

ILC: **164MW** @ 500GeV - **300MW** @ 1TeV (TDR)

ILC lab. (Experiment, Computing, Buildings) => 180 MW @ 500 GeV, 320 MW @ 1 TeV.

TDR takes a large margin: 300 MW 500 MW

(240 MW @ 500 GeV, RDR estimation)



ILC 500 GeV 18% of Iwate prefecture electricity consumption, ~ Morioka (300,000)

ILC 1 TeV 32%

- 180\$/MWh 2011 for industry (JP OECD 2013 report, special discount?, price volatility (2024))
- CERN (2011, ~ 70 \$/MWh), ESS (Sweden, 110 \$/MWh)

Yearly electricity running cost: 500 GeV ~ **240 M\$** (10 years, ~25% of ILC capital cost)
1 TeV ~ **430 M\$** (scaling on power)

HEP future: To be Green ... or not to be !!

J.Torberntsson, ESS
Energy-related part of costs (%)

Electricity price (€/MWh)


EuCARD² is co-funded by the partners and the European Commission under Capacities 7th Framework Programme, Grant Agreement 312453



ILC baseline energy budget 164 MW @ 500 GeV

Table 11.6

Estimated DKS power loads (MW) at 500 GeV centre-of-mass operation. ‘Conventional’ refers to power used for the utilities themselves. This includes water pumps and heating, ventilation and air conditioning, (HVAC). ‘Emergency’ power feeds utilities that must remain operational when main power is lost.

Accelerator section	RF Power	Racks	NC magnets	Cryo	Conventional		Total
					Normal	Emergency	
e ⁻ sources	1.28	0.09	0.73	0.80	1.47	0.50	4.87
e ⁺ sources	1.39	0.09	4.94	0.59	1.83	0.48	9.32
DR	8.67		2.97	1.45	1.93	0.70	15.72
RTML	4.76	0.32	1.26		1.19	0.87	8.40
Main Linac	52.13	4.66	0.91	32.00	12.10	4.30	106.10
BDS			10.43	0.41	1.34	0.20	12.38
Dumps					0.00	1.21	1.21
IR			1.16	2.65	0.90	0.96	5.67
TOTALS	68.2	5.2	22.4	37.9	20.8	9.2	164 MW

Rank: 1 6 3 2 4 5
 % : 42 3 15 23 13 5


ILC is a power converter from (m)eV to TeV
with
Wall-plug to beam power efficiency is 9.6 %

The Green ESS

European Spallation Source -- 4R

Renewable:

All energy from new, dedicated renewable production at a stable and competitive cost



Responsible:

Reduce energy use to under 270 GWh per year

Reliable

stable electricity and cooling supplies

Wind Power: 100 MW
 Machine: 278 GWh/y
 Cooling: 265 GWh/y

Recyclable:

Completely replace cooling towers with a cooling system based on heat recycling.

Green-ILC Objectives

ILC : lower running cost, better operational flexibility, eco-friendly

Revisiting all ILC components, in terms of:

1. Energy Saving: improving efficiency ... 90% (if not 100%) lost as heat waste
2. Operational saving
3. Energy Recovery and Recycling

Renewable energies:


1. Renewable energy production, best for ILC and ILC site
2. Energy Storage (recovery, intermittency)
3. Distribution and Management: Smart Grid





Energy for: societal needs and world economy,

1. Needs for Energy Basic Research
2. Synergies: HEP expertise (SC, HF magnets, beams, computing), photon, neutron factories
3. Technology innovation and large scale infrastructure management
4. ILC as a test bench: Pilot plants for ILC

ILC Energy center view




ILC Energy Center




Energy Saving and recovery

- **Klystron:**
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)




Recover non-used RF power: Smart RF loads

Idea 1) – reconvert to DC power!



Idea 2) – use high- T loads!



- 1) <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC10/papers/wepd090.pdf>
- 2) <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/IPAC2012/papers/thppc023.pdf>

Energy Saving and recovery

- Klystron:
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)
- **Cavities:** 2 Magnetic shields → Increase cavity Q_0
→ decrease cryo → save 62 ME (10 years running)
(O. Napolé AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013)



Cryonomics

O. Napoly AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013

If I am allowed to extrapolate the 75% increase of Q_0 shown by E. Kako with a double magnetic shielding, to ILC cavities with $E_{acc} = 31.5 \text{ MV/m}$

and with the assumptions: grid power = 0,15 € /kWh@300 K



CoP(2K) = 700 W/W

magnetic shield = 1000€ / cavity

cryogenics = 1 M€/100 W@2K

$$P_{dyn.} = 9.6 \text{ kW}@2\text{K}, 6.7 \text{ MW} @ 300 \text{ K}$$


$$P_{dyn.} = 5.5 \text{ kW}@2\text{K}, 3.8 \text{ MW}$$



Saving 62 Meuros on cavity cooling (10 years)

Energy Saving and recovery

- Klystron:
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)
- Cavities: 2 Magnetic shields → Increase cavity Q_0
 → decrease cryo → save 62 ME (10 years running)
 (O. Napolé AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013)
- Beam dumps
 - Wakefield deceleration for beam dump, project and test




Pros/cons

J. Fujimoto (KEK)

	Water dump	Gas dump
length	10 m	1000 m
Window pressure	10 bar static, 0.5 bar dyn.	1 bar static, 0.01 bar dyn
Window diameter	30 cm	8 cm
Hydrogen gas producing	Several liter/sec @ 20 MW	no
Tritium production	300 TBq	30 TBq (in Iron)
Component Activity	1.2 mSv/h	~ 1 ... 10 mSv/h

Plasma Acceleration, wakefield acceleration



Plasma Deceleration Dumping

PHYSICAL REVIEW SPECIAL TOPICS - ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS 13, 101303 (2010)

Linear Collider WS

Tokyo Nov. 15 2013

A. Suzuki (KEK DG)

Collective deceleration: Toward a compact beam dump

H.-C. Wu,¹ T. Tajima,^{1,2} D. Habs,^{1,2} A. W. Chao,³ and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn¹



¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

²*Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

³*SLAC National Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309, USA*

(Received 10 December 2009; published 5 October 2010)

Use Collective Fields of Plasmas for Deceleration



- The deceleration distance in the underdense plasma is 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the stopping in condensed matter.
- The muon fluence is highly peaked in the forward direction.

Collective Stopping Power for ILC

M. Yoshida KEK (IZEST)

$$L_{dump} [\text{m}] \approx 1.7 \times 10^{13} \frac{\sigma_T^2 [\text{cm}]}{N_b} E_0 [\text{GeV}]$$



here $\sigma_T \geq 0.6\sigma_L$ & $\sigma_T \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \sqrt{N_b \sigma_L}$

(electron bunch)

ILC $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$ $E_0 = 500\text{GeV}$

$$L_{dump} [\text{m}] \approx 4.3 \times 10^5 \sigma_T^2 [\text{cm}]$$

$$\sigma_T \approx 50 \mu\text{m}, \sigma_L \approx 3 \quad \sigma_T \approx 150 \mu\text{m} \quad \text{Compress} \times 2$$

$\rightarrow L = 10 \text{ m for Li gas}$

Next Trials

- Experiment of Proof-of-Principle
- Deposit mechanism of Wake-Field energy

Energy Saving and recovery

- Klystron:
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)
- Cavities: 2 Magnetic shields → Increase cavity Q_0
→ decrease cryo → save 62 ME (10 years running)
(O. Napolé AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013)
- Beam dumps
 - Wakefield deceleration for beam dump, project and test
- **Cryogenics**
 - Helium refrigerator saving
 - LN₂ pre-cooling
-




Proposal Pickup from AAA Green-ILC WG in 2014


(1) Helium compressor efficiency improvement

(Maekawa Co.)

Helium refrigerator





Compressor power reduction by using Adsorption Refrigerator [AdRef]



Characteristics;


1. non-CFC gas, but use water
2. Low temperature heat exhaust
3. Economy operation (only water pump)
4. Easy maintenance
5. Safe operation

Cooled water by Adsorption Refrigerator [AdRef]



New refrigeration cycle with AdRef


7% saving




Gas Temperature down (310K → 280K)

Then Compressor power reduce. 3.97MW(93%)

ILC TOTAL
 $\Delta 3\text{MW}$
(45.81 → 42.79MW)



Conventional cycle



New cycle with ADR

Energy Saving and recovery

- Klystron:
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)
- Cavities: 2 Magnetic shields → Increase cavity Q_0
→ decrease cryo → save 62 ME (10 years running)
(O. Napolé AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013)
- Beam dumps
 - Wakefield deceleration for beam dump, project and test
- Cryogenics
 - Helium refrigerator saving
 - LN₂ pre-cooling
- Transmission power lines

(2) HTS cable for primary power transmission
(Fujikura Co.)


Y-High-Tc (HTS) cable element

■ Product

Type	Width [mm]	thickness [mm]	Metal layer [μm]	Stab. layer [μm]	Critical current [A] @77K, S.F.
FYSC-SCF04	4	0.14	75	20	> 200

※ 2015年度より4mm幅標準線材を提供開始予定

■ Cable structure (formed by copper structure)



Fujikura succeeded to develop 5kA HTS power cable in 2013 with 1.37W/m AC loss.

66kV/5kA High-Tc power cable




■ Power cable using 500A HTS cable element

- 高I_c線材による交流損失低減を検証
- 66kV-5kA級単心ケーブルシステム(10m)
- 長期荷電試験: 20 cycles (1 cycle = 8h ON / 16h OFF)
- 目標交流損失 < 2 W/m @5kA
実測交流損失: 1.4W/m@77K, 0.95W/m@67K

<ケーブル設計・仕様>

項目	仕様
フォーマー	銅撲り線 (140 mm ²)、20 mmφ
HTS線材 (I _c =14 kA)	4mm幅線材、4層 I _c = 240 A/4 mm-w
絶縁	クラフト紙 (6mm厚)
HTSシールド (I _c =12.7 kA)	4mm幅線材、2層 I _c = 240 A/4mm-w
銅シールド	銅テープ (100mm ²)、44mm
ケーブル保護	不織布、45mmφ
冷却管 外層シース	ステンレス2重コルゲート管、 PEシース、114mmφ

NEDOプロジェクト(2013) : フジクラ



5kA級超電導ケーブル開発に成功、
1.37W/mの低交流損失を達成(2013)
現用の電力ケーブル(代表的な154kV 600MVA級)と
比較、冷却効率を考慮した上で、1/4以下の送電損失


Fujikura proposed to use 5kA HTS power cable in ILC AC-HV power line.

(3) High efficiency cryogenics for HTS cable (Maekawa Co.)


Advantages of HTS cable system

HTS cable is key technology for next generation grid.

- **Large capacity** : equivalent to conventional cable with **lower voltage**
- **Compact size** : installed within conduit
- **Low loss** : **less than 1/2** of conventional cable



Conventional cable
275 kV, 700 MVA/3cc



HTS cable
66 kV, 700 MVA/3cc

HTS cable will be applied to power plant in service, conduit of urban area etc.

Project overview

Project outlines

- **Asahi S/S, Yokohama, TEPCO's power system**
- **66 kV - 2 kA - 200 MVA class HTS cable with 1G DI-BSCCO wire**
- **Compact 3-in-One cable design for 150 mm conduit**
- **Approx. 250 meter cable with a joint and terminations**


Project members

TEPCO	Host power company
SEI	HTS cable system design, manufacture and installation
MAYEKAWA	Cooling system design, manufacture and Installation. Refrigerator development
Supported by NEDO & MTEI	




HTS cable

Layout of test center at Asahi S/S



Cable structure



HTS cable



Cable joint



Terminations



Turbo-Brayton refrigerator



3rd COMP.
Expander



Cold Box



COMP. Unit





Gas Buffer



Heat Exchanger
(Ne vs water)

Results of performance test

The COP is 0.1 at 77 K with respect to motor input power.



The Cooling Capacity is 5.0 kW at 69 K and 5.8 kW at 77 K.

We were successful in developing the high performance refrigerator.

Energy Saving and recovery

- Klystron:
 - Better efficiency: from 60% to 80%
 - Energy recovery on the electron beam (Hitachi)
- Cavities: 2 Magnetic shields → Increase cavity Q_0
→ decrease cryo → save 62 ME
(O. Napolé AWLC 2014 and JLC 2013)
- Beam dumps
 - Wakefield deceleration for beam dump, project and test
- **Suiren, KEK computer ranking 2nd in the GREEN500 Nov. 2014 listing**
 - ~ 5 GFLOP/S/W for a 0.185 PFLOP/S machine



Green500 Rank	MFLOPS/W	Site*	Computer*	Total Power (kW)
1	5,271.81	GSI Helmholtz Center	L-CSC - ASUS ESC4000 FDR/G2S, Intel Xeon E5-2690v2 10C 3GHz, Infiniband FDR, AMD FirePro S9150 Level 1 measurement data available	57.15
2	4,945.63	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization /KEK	Suiren - ExaScaler 32U256SC Cluster, Intel Xeon E5-2660v2 10C 2.2GHz, Infiniband FDR, PEZY-SC	37.83
3	4,447.58	GSIC Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology	TSUBAME-KFC - LX 1U-4GPU/104Re-1G Cluster, Intel Xeon E5-2620v2 6C 2.100GHz, Infiniband FDR, NVIDIA K20x	35.39

Accelerator Energy Consumption Calculation Package AECC

Integrated Power and Energy consumption calculation package for colliders

- Integrating existing computing tools for the various subsystems
- Implementation of analytical scaling formulae (for hot and cool colliders)
- Input from accelerator design/simulation packages
- Testing various staging and operation scenarios

First meeting KEK
Dec. 2014



Amaterasu
Goddess of the sun and of the Universe
Mother of all Energy
And a famous Manga figure

Scaling laws for e+/e- linear colliders

J.P. Delahaye, G. Guignard, T. Raubenheimer, I. Wilson

In the low beamstrahlung regime:

$$M = L \frac{U_f}{\delta_B^{1/2} P_{AC}} \propto \frac{\eta_{beam}^{RF}}{\varepsilon_{ny}^{*1/2}} \propto \frac{\omega^{1/30} G_a^{-1/6}}{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/3} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}},$$

392

J.P. Delahaye et al. / Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 421 (1999) 369–405

$$L \propto \frac{\delta_B^{1/2} \eta_{RF}^{AC}}{U_f} \frac{\eta_{beam}^{RF}}{\varepsilon_{ny}^{*1/2}} P_{AC} \propto \frac{\delta_B^{1/2} \eta_{RF}^{AC}}{U_f} \frac{\omega^{1/30} G_a^{-1/6}}{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/3} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}} P_{AC},$$

$$P_{AC} \propto \frac{U_f^3}{\delta_B^{1/2} \eta_{RF}^{AC}} \frac{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/3} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}}{\omega^{1/30} G_a^{-1/6}}.$$

$$U_f = E_{beam}$$

δ_B = % loss by beamstrahlung

η_{RF}^{AC} = wall plug power to beam power


$$M = \frac{L}{\delta_B^{3/2}} \frac{U_f^{1/2}}{P_{AC}} \propto \frac{\omega^{1/4} (a/\lambda)^{1/2}}{\varepsilon_{ny}^{*1/2}} \propto \frac{\omega^{7/20}}{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/2} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}},$$

$$L \propto \frac{\delta_B^{3/2}}{U_f^{1/2}} \frac{\eta_{RF}^{AC}}{\beta_y^{*1/2}} \frac{\eta_b^{RF}}{\sigma_z^{1/2} \varepsilon_{ny}^{*1/2}} P_{AC} \propto \frac{\delta_B^{3/2}}{U_f^{1/2}} \frac{\eta_{RF}^{AC}}{\beta_y^{*1/2}} \frac{\omega^{7/20}}{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/2} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}} P_{AC},$$


$$P_{AC} \propto \frac{U_f^{5/2}}{\delta_B^{3/2}} \frac{\beta_y^{*1/2}}{\eta_{RF}^{AC}} \frac{\varepsilon_{ny0}^{1/2} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_{ny}/\varepsilon_{ny0})^{1/2}}{\omega^{7/20}}.$$

Would be nice to compare with actual data..

Muon Colliders extending high energy frontier with potential of considerable power savings



Jean Pierre Delahaye
SLAC



An LN₂ Economy for ILC

ILC cryogenics ~ 38 MW (23% of ILC total AC power)

- In current design all cooling is done with LHe. LN₂ as a primary coolant -> - 20 MW
- LN₂ cooling: HTc (MgB₂) power transmission lines, NC magnets, electronics/computers,
- LN₂ could be used to recycle low grade heat waste (including beam dumps)
- And produce electricity with high-pressure gaz turbine

LN₂ could be produced by sustainable energies

- Close to or at the ILC site (wind, solar, geothermal energy)
- Wind energy: from electricity or direct liquefaction

LN₂ Energy storage


- With the heat waste, turbine produce electricity when needed. 70% efficiency

First LN₂ car




Sumimoto





LN₂ as energy storage

Liquefaction




Highview Power Storage (UK)

Power Recovery

Expected Efficiency up to 70% using heat waste (~ 115 C)


LN₂ process cycle



LO₂, LAr, SCO₂ Dry ice

To Industry

For Cooling or Sequestration





Air cleaning !!!

LN₂

Energy storage



- Cryocooler may save 30-50% electrical power
- Cooling NC magnets
- HTc power Transmission lines
- Cooling electronics and computers



Green ILC

Sustainable Energies

1) Energy Production:

- Study the **pros/cons** of various sources: solar, wind, geothermal, sea, ..., smr,...
 - Availability, Price, Flexibility, Potential for improvement, Environmental impact
- Find the **best energy mix** to cover **ILC specific needs** ? 24/7, long shutdowns, ...
- Power conversion: Match **ILC** component to the energy sources specifics:
 - RF power converter: PhotoVoltaic, fuel cells (DC)
 - Cryocooler or asynchronous liquefactors ?

2) Energy Storage: HEP, experts in some of these technologies

- Liquid Helium, Nitrogen, SMES(Sc Magnetic Energy Storage), Flywheel, Pumped hydro, Compressed air, Batteries, ...

3) Distribution: Local Smart GRID:


Full scale multi-sourced, AC/DC, GRID management and control

- Smooth and rapid switching between energy sources, including conventional supply
- Energy Monitoring, Management and forecast: production, storage and backup

Hydraulic Wind engine

"Liquid nitrogen economy" update:

- The Fukushima Offshore Wind Consortium project update:
- November 2014: 7MW first large scale hydraulic wind engine (MHI, Artemis)




Many technical advantages:

- Smaller, lighter nacelle
- Less mechanical parts and vibration
- Hydraulic accumulator
 - Larger wind speed range
 - No electrical frequency converter
- Easier maintenance at ground level




Good for the LN2

- "Base" based LN2 liquefier
- Many mills to one liquefier
- Hybrid: LN2 and electricity



Ground based hybrid wind power



Access tunnels: Power plants



- 10 access points on ILC main Linac
- Proposal: Each house a renewable energy plant
- ~ 10-20 MW at each of the 10 tunnel/pit access
 - 3 - Geothermal/biomass: close to cities, ILC lab site
 - 3 - Wind power: electricity and LN₂:ILC lab site, costal side
 - 3 - Solar (best orientation)
 - 3 - 1 - Ocean Power: ocean side

~ Total 100-200 MW



Asian Site Conventional Facility – Introduction

(Site) Mountainous green field not far from big towns, accessible with existing roads.
(Facility) Smaller surface structures and underground structures.




Geothermal power

- Japan has a huge potential
- No fracking, medium depth...
- Let's work with the onsen/spa industry for hybrid projects
- Output warm water: Many applications:
 - Onsen/spa for the local community
 - Heating close-by cities/villages
 - Greenhouses for vegetable and flowers growing
 - Fish farming needs to adjust water temperature

Similar for Biomass power

Geothermal power plants in the islands of Japan (2011)



Geothermal Energy and SPA center

Iceland Svartsengi

- Geothermal plant: electricity **75 MW**, thermal **150 MW**
- 37 years of operation
- 600 m drill 240 C + 1000m and 2000m steam wells
- Hot drinking water to the city




Svartsengi Power plant and Blue lagoon
AAA Green-ILC Dec. 10th,
2014


Denis Perret-Gallix@in2p3
LAPP/IN2P3/CNRS - K




Ocean Power (by Tsumoru Shintake, OIST)



- Many big projects:
 - Little impact on landscape
 - little intermittency,
but variable power
 - Could be close to the shore
- Prof. T. Shintake future presentation






0.5 MW France



Tide power (Canada)



Tidal power

Rance Tidal Power station (1966), France

Type of dam [Barrage](#)

Length 700 m (2,300 ft)

Reservoir

Tidal range 8 m (26 ft)

Power station

Type [Tidal barrage](#)

Turbines 24

Power generation

Nameplate capacity 240 [MW](#)

Capacity factor 40%

Annual generation 600 [GWh](#)



Solar power on Infrastructure

Infrastructures, not very eco-friendly, but necessary,
Better to use them to produce energy ?

Assuming: solar panels (thermal or PV) $\sim 200 \text{ W/m}^2$

- ILC Buildings: ~ 103 buildings $\sim 91,000 \text{ m}^2$ (80%) $\rightarrow \sim 15 \text{ MW}$
- Roads: 10 tunnel access \rightarrow 10 semi-private roads (1-2 Km each)
 - $\sim 10\text{-}20 \text{ km}$
 - Side road: $\ast 3\text{m} = 30\text{-}60,000 \text{ m}^2$
 - Top road: $\ast 10 \text{ m} = 100\text{-}200,000 \text{ m}^2$
- Parking lots: covered by solar panels
- PB.: cleaning, snow, support structures, storage, ... price ...


"Renault" car company to install 450,000m² of solar panels: 60 MW
140W/m²




Shandong Huayi Sunlight Solar Energy
115W/m²



SRB and CERN: Thermal panels, Geneva airport roof



<http://www.greenpepperenergy.com/index.php/roof-over-roads-to-tap-solar-power/>



Visually disruptive equipments

Industrial complex, reuse of polluted zone,



Fos sur mer (France)



Off-shore

Amusement parks (Ferris Wheel ~165 m high)



Excavated earth for pumped hydro dam

~ 3.2 Mm³ will be removed from tunnels digging
Can be used to build earth dams see for comparison:

Kutataragi Pumped Storage Power Station (くたたぎ発電所) 1.9 GW

Kansai Electric Power Company (Hyōgo Prefecture)


Kurokawa Reservoir (3.6 Mm³ earth)
98 m tall, 325 m long



Tataragi Reservoir (1.4 Mm³ earth)
64.5 m tall, 278 m long



Principle of a pumped-storage power plant



→ Direction of water flows when generating

← Direction of water flows when pumping

→ Rotation when generating

← Rotation when pumping

→ Direction of power flows when generating

← Direction of power flows when pumping

International Linear Collider

I L C

Innovation = Leadership x Creativity


Energy University Network in Japan

Energy for Innovation, Innovation in Energy

- Energy basic research and practical applications suited to ILC similar to medium-large city
 - Based on HEP type cooperation paradigm: open-science, international,...
- Energy issues, pluri-disciplinary ... very transversal in Universities
 - Physics: thermodynamics, condensed matter and solid state physics
 - Environment: climate change,
 - Engineering: electronics, high-current, high-voltage electricity, mechanics
 - Bio: artificial photosynthesis
 - Nanotechnology: H₂ storage, membranes, ...
 - Computational science: modeling, simulation, Smart grid management,
- Industry network
 - Already: Japan AAA "Green-ILC" every 2-3 month meeting
 - Local companies
- Pickup the university individual expertises on each of these topics
- Build-up a comprehensive R&D program
- Expand the network to international partners

Collaborations

- First joint Green-Session Belgrade/Beijing ILC, CLIC, FCC, CepC, SppC
"HEP future: to be green or not to be"
- ICFA proposal (A. Suzuki KEK DG)
"Sustainable accelerator/collider panel" (decision Jul. 2015)
- Industry participation
 - Japan AAA "Green-ILC" every 2-3 month meeting
 - Air Liquide
- Green-ILC available at interactions.org
<http://newsline.linearcollider.org/archive/2014/20141030.pdf>
- Comprehensive paper in preparation (Co-authors, H. Hayano, T. Saeki, A. Suzuki, D.P-G,)
- Website: <http://green-ILC.in2p3.fr> (LAPP)



Green ILC

Energy for Innovation, Innovation in Energy

<http://green-ILC.in2p3.fr>

Home | Blog | Archives | ▶ Research | Conferences | Documents | Links | Contacts

Search

RSS

The Green ILC Project

ILC, the International Linear Collider, is the next fundamental science project in high energy physics and the first ever true global basic science center.

What CERN did for the European HEP community, ILC will do for the world. But the e+e- ILC project may go even beyond mere fundamental science and contribute to one of the world most pregnant issue: Energy, not merely high-energy but, more generally: energy for the society.



Artistic view of the ILC center in Kitakami (Japan) ILC-Iwate

The ILC scientific goal is simple: high precision study of the Higgs particle recently discovered at LHC (CERN) and other signals LHC could possibly single out. New effects will also be searched for, effects which could have been missed by the LHC due to the heavy background. Higher precision here concerns, more particularly, the various Higgs couplings, limited at LHC, in part, by the complex structure of the interacting particles, the protons compared to the elementary electrons.

Recent Posts

[Green-ILC in LC Newsline](#)
[New Hydraulic Wind Turbine](#)
[Green Session at LCWS 2014](#)
[EUCARD2 EnEfficient](#)
[Liquid Air in the Energy and Transport Systems](#)

Links

[email: green.accelerators@gmail.com](mailto:green.accelerators@gmail.com)
[Green-ILC wiki](#)
[Green-ILC group discussion](#)

Thank you